



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Music therapy may promote relational skills in children with ASD – evidence from an updated Cochrane Review

Geretsegger, Monika; Elefant, Cochavit; Mössler, Karin; Gold, Christian

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Geretsegger, M., Elefant, C., Mössler, K., & Gold, C. (2013). *Music therapy may promote relational skills in children with ASD – evidence from an updated Cochrane Review*. Paper presented at 10th Autism-Europe International Congress, Budapest, Hungary.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Music therapy may promote relational skills in children with ASD – evidence from an updated Cochrane Review

MONIKA

COHAVIT ELEFANT

KARIN MÖSSLER

CHRISTIAN GOLD

Aalborg University / Denmark
& University of Vienna / Austria



universität
wien

University of Haifa / Israel

GAMUT, Uni Health, Bergen / Norway

GAMUT, Uni Health, Bergen / Norway



AALBORG UNIVERSITET



Music therapy ... ?

(A) definition:

"a **systematic process** of intervention wherein the therapist helps the client to promote health, using **musical experiences** and the **relationships that develop through them** as dynamic forces of change"

Kenneth Bruscia (1998), p. 20



Bruscia, K. (1998). *Defining music therapy* (2nd ed.). Gilsum, NH: Barcelona.

Gold, C., Wigram, T., & Elefant, C. (2006).
Music therapy for autistic spectrum disorder.
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews(2), CD004381.

Brownell
2002

Buday
1995

Farmer
2003

(total n = 24)

- MT may help children with ASD
to improve their communicative skills

→ more research:

effects of MT in typical clinical practice
& within longer periods

Wheeler et al. (2008):

“Some reviews (Risperidone, **Music therapy and Parent-mediated behaviour interventions) provided evidence of statistically significant improvement effects for communication, speech and/or some behaviours associated with autism.”**

Rossignol (2009):

highest ranks in an evidence-based grading system:

“Grade A treatments for ASD include melatonin, acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, naltrexone, and **music therapy.”**

Wheeler, D., Williams, K. Seida, J., Ospina, M. (2008). The Cochrane Library and autism spectrum disorder: an overview of reviews. *Evidence- Based Child Health*, 3, 3-15.

Rossignol, D. A. (2009). Novel and emerging treatments for autism spectrum disorders: A systematic review. *Annals of Clinical Psychiatry*, 21, 213-236.

Geretsegger, M., Elefant, C., Mössler, K., & Gold, C. (submitted). *Music therapy for autism spectrum disorder.*

Brownell
2002

Buday
1995

Farmer
2003

Arezina
2011

Kim et al.
2008

Gattino et al.
2011

Thomas & Hunter
2003

Thompson
2012

(total n = 93)

Arezina, C. (2011). *The Effect of Interactive Music Therapy on Joint Attention Skills in Preschool Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder*. University of Kansas: Master's thesis.

Brownell, M. D. (2002). Musically adapted social stories to modify behaviors in students with autism: four case studies. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 39, 117-144.

Buday, E. M. (1995). The effects of signed and spoken words taught with music on sign and speech imitation by children with autism. *Journal of Music Therapy*, 32, 189-202.

Farmer, K. J. (2003). *The Effect of Music vs. Nonmusic Paired with Gestures on Spontaneous Verbal and Nonverbal Communication Skills of Children with Autism between the Ages 1-5*. Florida State University: Master's thesis.

Gattino, G.S., Riesgo, R. d. S., Longo, D., Leite, J. C. L., & Faccini, L.S. (2011). Effects of relational music therapy on communication of children with autism: A randomized controlled study. *Nordic Journal of Music Therapy*, 20, 142-154.

Kim, J., Wigram, T., & Gold, C. (2008). The effects of improvisational music therapy on joint attention behaviors in autistic children: A randomized controlled study. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38, 1758-1766.

Thomas, A., & Hunter, B. (2003). The effect of music therapy on communication skills of children ages 2-3 with autism: A pilot study. Minneapolis, MN: Presentation at the American Music Therapy Association Conference.

Thompson, G. (2012). *Making a Connection: Randomised Controlled Trial of Family Centred Music Therapy for Young Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder*. The University of Melbourne: PhD thesis.

Description of studies:

8 studies included (total n = 93)

methods:

RCTs (MT vs. 'placebo' therapy or standard care)
small sample sizes (4 to 24 participants)
5 crossover, 3 parallel designs

participants:

children (2 – 9 years) with ASD
80 – 100 % male

interventions:

1 week to 7 months
5 to 20 sessions
individual or family-based setting
receptive and active/improvisational methods

outcomes:

non-generalised & generalised

interventions:

1 week to 7 months, 5 to 20 sessions
individual or family-based setting
receptive and active/improvisational methods

Brownell
2002

receptive: social stories sung vs. read
(5 sessions each) vs. no intervention (2x5 d)

Buday
1995

receptive: songs vs. rhythmic
speech to teach signs (5 sessions each)

Farmer
2003

active + receptive: guitar playing &
songs (5 sessions) vs. placebo sessions

Arezina
2011

interactive MT vs. interactive play vs.
independent play (6 sessions each, 10 min.)

Gattino et al.
2011

relational MT (20 sessions, 30 min.)
vs. standard care

Kim et al.
2008

improvisational MT vs. free toy play
(12 sessions each, 30 min.)

Thomas & Hunter
2003

MT (12 session parts, 15 min.)
vs. play time

Thompson
2012

family-centered MT (16 sessions)
vs. standard care

outcomes:

non-generalised & generalised

Buday
1995

sign & speech imitation
in session

Brownell
2002

repetitive behaviours
in classroom

Farmer
2003

verbal & gestural responses
in session

Thomas & Hunter
2003

**on-task behaviour,
requesting behaviour**

Arezina
2011

**respond to bid for joint attention
+ initiate joint attention** in session

Kim et al.
2008

ESCS, PDDBI, eye contact, turn-taking, emotional synchronicity...

Gattino et al.
2011

**CARS verbal, nonverbal, & social
communication**

Thompson
2012

**Vineland SEEC, SRS, MBCDI-W&G,
Parent-Child Relationship Inventory**

outcomes:

non-generalised & generalised

SOCIAL INTERACTION

non-generalised: n=10 (1 study)

generalised: n=57 (3 studies)

NON-VERBAL COMMUNICATIVE SKILLS

non-generalised: n=30 (3 studies)

generalised: n=57 (3 studies)

VERBAL COMMUNICATIVE SKILLS

non-generalised: n=20 (2 studies)

generalised: n=47 (2 studies)

QUALITY OF PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP

generalised: n=33 (2 studies)

INITIATING BEHAVIOUR

non-generalised: n=22 (3 studies)

SOCIAL ADAPTATION

non-generalised: n=22 (3 studies)

generalised: n=4 (1 study)

SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL RECIPROCITY

non-generalised: n=10 (1 study)

JOY

non-generalised: n=10 (1 study)

Geretsegger, M., Elefant, C., Mössler, K., & Gold, C. (submitted).
Music therapy for autism spectrum disorder.

MAIN RESULTS:

generalised measures:

significant effect for **social interaction** (0.71)

non-generalised measures:

significant effects for **social interaction,**
non-verbal & verbal communicative skills,
initiating behaviour, social-emotional reciprocity
(between 0.36 and 2.28)

MAIN RESULTS:

Social interaction:

Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	SMD IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Within sessions				
Kim 2008	1.06	0.53	100.0%	1.06 [0.02, 2.10]
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	1.06 [0.02, 2.10]

Heterogeneity: Not applicable

Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.00$ ($P = 0.05$)

1.1.2 Generalised (outside sessions, daily life)

Gattino 2011	0.38	0.41	44.5%	0.38 [-0.42, 1.18]
Kim 2008	0.79	0.54	25.6%	0.79 [-0.27, 1.85]
Thompson 2012a	1.14	0.5	29.9%	1.14 [0.16, 2.12]
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.71 [0.18, 1.25]


Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 1.41$, $df = 2$ ($P = 0.49$); $I^2 = 0\%$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.61$ ($P = 0.009$)

Test for subgroup differences: $\text{Chi}^2 = 0.34$, $df = 1$ ($P = 0.56$), $I^2 = 0\%$


social interaction
non-generalised
($n=10$)

SMD = 1.06
(CI 0.02 to 2.10)




MAIN RESULTS:

Social interaction:




MAIN RESULTS:

Communicative skills: non-verbal



MAIN RESULTS:

Communicative skills: non-verbal



Test for subgroup differences: $\text{Chi}^2 = 0.10$, $\text{df} = 1$ ($P = 0.76$), $I^2 = 0\%$

MAIN RESULTS:


Communicative skills: verbal

Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	IV, Fi
1.3.1 Within sessions				
Buday 1995	0.3471	0.1097	86.2%	0.35
Farmer 2003	0.8066	0.6736	2.3%	0.81
Subtotal (95% CI)			88.5%	0.36
Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 0.45$, $\text{df} = 1$ ($P = 0.50$); $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.32$ ($P = 0.0009$)				
1.3.2 Generalised (outside sessions, daily life)				
Gattino 2011	0.28	0.41	6.2%	0.28 [-0.52, 1.08]
Thompson 2012a	0.33	0.44	5.4%	0.33 [-0.53, 1.19]
Subtotal (95% CI)			11.5%	0.30 [-0.28, 0.89]
Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 0.01$, $\text{df} = 1$ ($P = 0.93$); $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.01$ ($P = 0.31$)				
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.35 [0.15, 0.55]
Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 0.49$, $\text{df} = 3$ ($P = 0.92$); $I^2 = 0\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.46$ ($P = 0.0005$)				
Test for subgroup differences: $\text{Chi}^2 = 0.03$, $\text{df} = 1$ ($P = 0.86$), $I^2 = 0\%$				

verbal communi-
cative skills
non-generalised
(n=20)

SMD = 0.36
(CI 0.15 to 0.57)

Quality of the evidence:
MODERATE



MAIN RESULTS:


Initiating behaviour

Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	IV,
1.4.1 Within sessions				
Arezina 2011	0.34	0.55	12.0%	0.3
Kim 2008	1.48	0.43	19.6%	1.4
Thomas 2003	0.59	0.23	68.4%	0.6
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.73 (0.36, 1.11)
Heterogeneity: $\text{Chi}^2 = 3.91$, $\text{df} = 2$ ($P = 0.14$); $I^2 = 40\%$				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.86$ ($P = 0.0001$)				

initiating
behaviour
non-generalised
(n=22)

SMD = 0.73
(CI 0.36 to 1.11)

Quality of the evidence:
MODERATE



Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable


Social-emotional reciprocity

Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	IV,
1.5.1 Within sessions				
Kim 2008	2.28	0.79	100.0%	2.2
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	2.28 (0.73, 3.83)
Heterogeneity: Not applicable				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.89$ ($P = 0.004$)				

social-emotional
reciprocity
non-generalised
(n=10)

SMD = 2.28
(CI 0.73 to 3.83)

Quality of the evidence:
MODERATE



Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable

MT also superior to 'placebo' therapy or standard care
in secondary outcome areas:

Social adaptation

non-generalised
(n=22)

SMD = 1.15
(CI 0.69 to 1.61)

Quality of the evidence:
MODERATE

Joy

non-generalised
(n=10)

SMD = 0.96
(CI 0.04 to 1.88)

Quality of parent-child relationship

non-generalised
(n=33)

SMD = 0.82
(CI 0.13 to 1.52)

Quality of the evidence:
MODERATE

Geretsegger, M., Elefant, C., Mössler, K., & Gold, C. (submitted).
Music therapy for autism spectrum disorder.

Music therapy helps children with ASD to improve their abilities
in social interaction and communication

Implications for practice:

utilise the relational qualities of music
focus on client's interests and motivations

Implications for research:

find larger samples, use generalised outcome measures
use long-term follow-up assessments
do pragmatic trials

thank you!



Monika Geretsegger | mg@hum.aau.dk